### Dark Matter - How we are hunting the unknown









Ken Clark (who is not shown above)





- This talk is a very abbreviated tour through experiments searching for dark matter
- Also, biases will probably become obvious...



#### This talk

 Details are intentionally minimal, and attempts will be made not to dwell on the coolness of this stuff





### Dark Matter Evidence

Already "detected" now in the era "identification"





Cosmic









#### Dark Matter: What Do We Know?

Ratio) 5. Non-relativistic (galactic structure formation, rotation curves)



1. Long lived (survived until current day) 2. Non-baryonic (Hydrogen:Deuterium

3. No EM interactions (haven't seen it) 4. 80% of all matter (rotation curves, CMB)





#### Dark Matter: What Don't We Know?

- 1. What is the fundamental nature of the dark matter? (Associated: what are its properties)
- 2. How was dark matter formed in the universe?
- 3. Does dark matter interact non-gravitationally with standard model particles?
- 4. Does dark matter interact non-gravitationally with itself?







### What could it be?



- Lots of potential solutions to the dark matter puzzle
- This talk focuses on WIMPs for several reasons...
  - Canadian thrust
  - Projects with which I've been involved







#### How are we chasing it?

- Exploiting its properties

Mass ~GeV Velocity 220km/s (rms) + 230km/s (Earth)

χ



#### • Dark matter exists - can collide elastically



Recoil Energy ~10 keV







#### How are we chasing it?

- Exploiting its properties
- Dark matter exists can collide elastically

• 10 keV is very little energy

• A mosquito flying at full speed has about 1 TeV of energy (1x10<sup>9</sup> keV)



Aside 1: Energy scale of recoil





#### How are we chasing it?

- Exploiting its properties

Mass ~GeV Velocity 220km/s (rms) + 230km/s (Earth)

χ



#### • Dark matter exists - can collide elastically



Recoil Energy ~10 keV







### One additional issue...

- Very rare interaction (usually <1 event per year per kilogram of target)





### Detector Criteria

- 1. Sensitive to very small deposits of energy
- 2. Some way to differentiate how that energy is deposited (separate non-nuclear recoils)
- 3. (Clear path to sizing up to the tonne scale)

need to have many kg



<1 event/kg/year need to have many 10s of years





### <u>Detector Criteria</u>

- 1. Sensitive to very small deposits of energy
- 2. Some way to differentiate how that energy is deposited (separate non-nuclear recoils)
- 3. (Clear path to sizing up to the tonne scale)
- 4. Want to compare the results with other experiments

<a href="https://www.example.com"><a href="https://www.example.com"><a href="https://www.example.com"><a href="https://www.example.com"><a href="https://www.example.com"><a href="https://www.example.com"><a href="https://www.example.com"><a href="https://www.example.com"></a>
<a href="https://www.example.com">need to have many 10s of years</a>
<a href="https://www.example.com">need to have many kg</a>







#### Experiment Competition

Cross-Section (pb) 0-3

 $10^{-4}$ 

- Need a transferrable way to compare the performance of experiments
- Look at what combination of mass and cross-section they could discover









### Experiment Competition

One fairly major complexity with the comparisons

Spin-DependentSpin-IndependentCoupling proportional to  
expectation value of nucleon spins  
and total nuclear spinCoupling proportional to the  
square of the atomic mass numberFavours nuclei with unpaired  
nucleons such as <sup>19</sup>F, <sup>23</sup>Na, <sup>73</sup>GeFavours heavy nuclei such as Ge,  
Xe
$$C_{spin} = \frac{8}{\pi} \frac{J+1}{J} [a_p < S_p > +a_n < S_n >]^2$$
 $C_{scalar} = \frac{1}{\pi G_F^2} [Zf_p + (A-Z)f_n]^2$ 

Other methods have been proposed, which do not treat the nucleus as a point-like particle. These are not discussed here. See, for example, Haxton et al, JCAP 1302 (2013) 004



Q

()

 $\chi$ 

Ζ







### <u>Cryogenic Experiments - CDMS</u>







# Criterion 1: Sensitive to small deposits of energy





### <u>Cryogenic Experiments - CDMS</u>



# Criterion 1: Sensitive to small deposits of energy

# Criterion 2: Method of discrimination of backgrounds





### <u>Cryogenic Experiments - CDMS</u>









### Noble Liquid Experiments - LUX





# Criterion 1: Sensitive to small deposits of energy





### Noble Liquid Experiments - LUX







# Criterion 1: Sensitive to small deposits of energy

# Criterion 2: Method of discrimination of backgrounds





### <u>Noble Liquid Experiments - DEAP</u>



Simon Veil, WNPPC 2019





48 Muon veto PMTs

255 PMTs & light guides

- Steel shell

3279 kg liquid argon

Filler blocks

#### Criterion 1: Sensitive to Acrylic vessel & TPB layer Small deposits of energy





### <u>Noble Liquid Experiments - DEAP</u>



Simon Veil, WNPPC 2019

DEAP-1 prototype data





48 Muon veto PMTs

255 PMTs & light guides

Steel shell

3279 kg liquid argon

Filler blocks

**Rottom spring support** 

#### Criterion 1: Sensitive to Acrylic vessel & TPB layer Small deposits of energy

#### Criterion 2: Method of discrimination of backgrounds





#### Noble Liquid Experiments











#### Superheated Fluid Experiments - PICO







Criterion 1: Sensitive to small deposits of energy





#### Superheated Fluid Experiments - PICO





Criterion 1: Sensitive to small deposits of energy









#### PICO Results





Phys. Rev. D **93**, 052014











### Flexible Target

Ability to change target material is very valuable in the hunt for an unknown particle

Nucleus	Z	J	$\langle S_p \rangle$	$\langle \mathbf{S}_n \rangle$
<sup>17</sup> O	8	5/2	0	0.495
<sup>19</sup> F	9	1/2	0.441	-0.109
<sup>23</sup> Na	11	3/2	0.248	0.020
<sup>29</sup> Si	14	1/2	-0.002	0.130
<sup>73</sup> Ge	32	9/2	0.030	0.378
<sup>93</sup> Nb	41	9/2	0.460	0.080
<sup>127</sup> I	53	5/2	0.309	0.075
<sup>129</sup> Xe	54	1/2	0.028	0.359

KC Thesis (!)





#### PICO Results





Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 251301







### State of Experiments

#### SD Exclusion Limit



2



#### SI Exclusion Limit



### State of Experiments

#### SD Exclusion Limit



2



#### SI Exclusion Limit



### How To Improve the Sensitivity

• The sensitivity scales with the exposure (target mass x time) so the plan generally is to get much larger











Queen's

### The Future of PICO





#### Many problems seem connected to water/active fluid interface





#### The Future of PICO





#### Jid interface





### H(())

- "Flips" the sensitive volume to remove water buffer fluid
- Constructed underground at SNOLAB
- Commissioning underway, dark matter data taking to begin shortly













#### <u>The Further Future</u>

- Increasing from PICO 40 to PICO 500
- Design currently underway







#### State of Experiments

#### SD Exclusion Limit













### The Problem on the Horizon



- Insensitive to neutrino flavour, occurs at small cross-sections





# Observed coherent elastic scattering of neutrinos





#### But This is Very Rare, right?









### But This is Very Rare, right?









#### SD Exclusion Limit





### <u>The Future!</u>

#### SI Exclusion Limit







#### SD Exclusion Limit



Neutrino Floors: Phys. Rev. D 90, 083510



### <u>The Future!</u>







### The Floor is not THE Floor

#### SD Exclusion Limit



Neutrino Floors: Phys. Rev. D 90, 083510









## Side note: Is this the only direction?

SI Exclusion Limit



DEAP-3600 (2019) LUX (2016) XENON 1T (2018) 10<sup>3</sup> 2

- Should we just get bigger and try to hit the floor?
- There is still low mass area to be investigated
- Getting into this space requires low threshold and potentially different techniques



### <u>A New(ish) Technique!</u>

#### • PICO works very well, but the threshold can't be set low due to gamma interactions





#### **Gamma Rejection**





## <u>A New(ish) Technique!</u>





- Replace the C3F8 with Argon (and some xenon)
- Scintillation inhibits bubble formation for backgrounds
- Currently under construction, installation at SNOLAB in 2021





## Low Mass Exploration

#### SI Exclusion Limit



- Should we just get bigger and try to hit the floor?
- There is still low mass area to be investigated
- Getting into this space requires low threshold and potentially different techniques



#### So where do we go from here?

 This clearly isn't the end, there are strategies to move forward and lower Unfortunately these may come with delays Three clear paths forward to separate the WIMPs from the neutrinos 1. Use the directionality 2. Use the annual modulation 3. Use the energy spectrum

Two Micron All Sky Survey Image Mosaic: Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/Caltech & University of Massachusetts

#### **Directionality & Modulation**



Two Micron All Sky Survey Image Mosaic: Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/Caltech & University of Massachusetts



- any dark matter experiment
  - Requires YEARS of data, however



### Detection?

Time (day)

# Annual modulation clearly detectable in





#### **Directionality & Modulation**



Two Micron All Sky Survey Image Mosaic: Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/Caltech & University of Massachusetts

Note: not to scale

#### Requires new Detector Technology

- Difficult to increase to competitive size, but that technology is coming
- The CYGNUS HD10 detector is one example





neutron + gamma shielding

Example detector





#### Is There Another Way?

gravitationally with itself

Can we use this to hunt it?



- Earlier said that we would exploit the properties of the dark matter to find it
- One of the potential properties was the ability of dark matter to interact non-





#### Indirect Detection

- Several experiments looking for signals from dark matter annihilation
- Large masses will collect dark matter particles through scattering and capture
- Scattering here is the same process used by the direct detection experiments





### Dark Matter Annihilation





- Assuming the DM is a Majorana particle, it will self-annihilate in the Sun
- Sensitive to both SI and SD DM, since they will both capture and annihilate
- Two different classifications of energy spectrum out:
  - Hard: W+W- or  $\tau+\tau$ -
  - Soft: **bb**









### Dark Matter Annihilation





- Assuming the DM is a Majorana particle, it will self-annihilate in the Sun
- Sensitive to both SI and SD DM, since they will both capture and annihilate
- Two different classifications of energy spectrum out:
  - Hard: W+W- or τ+τ-
  - Soft: **bb**









#### <u>IceCube/DeepCore</u>







### Studying Neutrinos from the Sun

Cosmic ray muons



Note: *dramatically* not to scale



Sun position in Summer







#### Analysis





- No excess seen in the direction of the Sun
- A limit is placed on the capture rate and therefore the crosssection





10-38

- Since the primary reaction is on Hydrogen, the majority of the SD Cross-section (cm<sup>2</sup>) sensitivity is in the SD sector
- This is a reminder of the state of the art in that limit







#### **SD** Exclusion Limit







 $10^{-46}$ 

10-38 • Since the primary 10-39 reaction is on Hydrogen,  $10^{-40}$ the majority of the SD Cross-section (cm<sup>2</sup>) sensitivity is in the SD 10-41 sector 10-42 10-43 • The best limit from IceCube is into the  $\tau\tau$  $10^{-44}$ channel 10-45





#### **SD** Exclusion Limit





### <u>Conclusions</u>

#### SD Exclusion Limit



- Rich field with much area left to explore



SI Exclusion Limit



Direct dark matter detectors have a shift in focus coming soon







