# <sup>65</sup>Zn: A Measurement of Electron-Capture Decays Using Data from the KDK Experiment

#### Lilianna Hariasz

#### (Queen's University) On behalf of the KDK collaboration

#### Winter Nuclear & Particle Physics Virtual Conference February 11, 2021



#### Uses

- Common gamma calibration source
- Tracer (medicine, biology)

#### Data, Advantages

- Data from KDK experiment
- Setup allows for measurement of EC branches
- High gamma-tagging efficiency  $(\sim 98\%)$
- KDK Instrumentation Paper Pre-Print Available At: **arXiv:2012.15232** [1]



Novel measurement of  $\rho \equiv BR_{EC}/BR_{EC*}$ 

# KDK: Potassium (K) Decay (DK)

### KDK is measuring $\rho$ for ${}^{40}\mathrm{K}$

#### Datasets

- $\bullet\,$  Data was obtained using  $^{40}{\rm K},\,^{54}{\rm Mn},\,^{65}{\rm Zn},\,^{88}{\rm Y}$  sources
- all for energy calibration
- $\bullet~^{54}\mathrm{Mn}$  for efficiency calibration
- $\bullet~^{40}\mathrm{K},~^{65}\mathrm{Zn},$  and  $^{88}\mathrm{Y}$  for physics results

#### $^{40}\mathrm{K}$

- $0.0117(1)\%^{[2]}$   $^{40}\mathrm{K}$  in  $^{\mathrm{nat}}\mathrm{K}$  (in NaI)
- NaI commonly-used in dark matter searches, e.g. DAMA/LIBRA
- 3 keV X-ray/Auger from EC decay is in expected DM-detection signal region [3]
- <sup>40</sup>K also of interest in geochronology [4]



Unblinded  ${}^{65}$ Zn dataset is being used to test methods for main  ${}^{40}$ K analysis.

Branching ratios are calculated from measurements and theoretical values. No experimental result has probed electron-capture to the ground state ( $\equiv$ EC) and excited state ( $\equiv$ EC<sup>\*</sup>) branches simultaneously.

	National Nuclear	Table of
	Data Centre	Radionuclides
$BR_{EC}$	48.54(7)%	48.35(11)%
${\rm BR}_{{\rm EC}^*}$	50.04(10)%	50.23(11)%
ρ	0.9700(24)	0.9626(30)

#### Agreement within $2\sigma$ between National Nuclear Data Center [5] and Table of Radionuclides [6].

# KDK Setup I



• EC event:	• EC* event:
x-ray	x-ray & gamma

Inner Silicon Drift Detector (SDD) (MPP/HLL Munich) detects x-rays Outer Modular Total Absorption Spectrometer (MTAS) (Oak Ridge National Laboratory) detects gammas

(Electronic support: TRIUMF)

KDK measures  $\rho = BR_{EC}/BR_{EC*}$ 

# KDK Setup II (arXiv:2012.15232)



# <sup>65</sup>Zn Coincidence Histogram

SDD/MTAS Coincidence - 65Zn MTAS Energy [MeV] 10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> Ξ 10<sup>2</sup> 10  $\overline{0}$ 2 6 8 10 12 14 Δ SDD Energy [keV]



## Analysis Procedure, SDD Spectra



- Fit coincident & uncoincident spectra simultaneously
- Oivide signal counts in uncoincident & coincident spectra

SDD resolution:  $198\,{\rm eV}$  FWHM at  $8\,{\rm keV}$ 



Fit accounts for false positives and negatives Notably: <100% MTAS efficiency, EC coincidence with MTAS background

## Simulating MTAS (Gamma-Tagging) Efficiencies, <sup>54</sup>Mn

Measured and simulated 835 keV (<sup>54</sup>Mn) efficiencies are used to determine those of 1115 keV (<sup>65</sup>Zn). Comparison of data + simulation for <sup>65</sup>Zn is shown.



# $^{65}$ Zn Spectrum Fit

Various background models are currently being studied.



## Preliminary <sup>65</sup>Zn $\rho$ Results



#### Coincidence window dependency

- *ρ* should be independent of coincidence window
- False negative corrections resolve unphysical CW-dependency

Currently finalizing false positives and & negatives

- KDK is measuring several rare decays, with results applicable to many fields
- $\bullet\,$  The  $^{65}{\rm Zn}$  dataset obtained as part of KDK is being used to test analysis methods, and to obtain physics results
- $\bullet\,$  The apparatus, featuring a high-efficiency gamma detector and high-resolution x-ray detector, provides a novel measurement method for  $^{65}{\rm Zn}$  decays
- False positive and false negative corrections are ongoing
- Final results to be published in the near future

# N. Brewer<sup>1</sup>, H. Davis<sup>2,3</sup>, P.C.F. Di Stefano<sup>4</sup>, E. Lukosi<sup>2,3</sup>, B.C. Rasco<sup>1</sup>, K.P. Rykaczewski<sup>1</sup>, M. Stukel<sup>4</sup>, and the KDK Collaboration

<sup>1</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory Physics Division, Oak Ridge, TN, U.S.A, <sup>2</sup>Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA, <sup>3</sup>Joint Institute for Nuclear Physics and Applications, Oak Ridge, TN, U.S.A, <sup>4</sup>Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada

 M. Stukel, B. C. Rasco, N. T. Brewer, P. C. F. Di Stefano, K. P. Rykaczewski, H. Davis, E. D. Lukosi, L. Hariasz, M. Constable, P. Davis, K. Dering, A. Fijałkowska, Z. Gai, K. C. Goetz, R. K. Grzywacz, J. Kostensalo, J. Ninkovic, P. Lechner, Y. Liu, M. Mancuso, C. L. Melcher, F. Petricca, C. Rouleau, P. Squillari, L. Stand, D. W. Stracener, J. Suhonen, M. Wolińska-Cichocka, and I. Yavin. A novel experimental system for the kdk measurement of the <sup>40</sup>k decay scheme relevant for rare event searches.

arXiv:2012.15232, 2020.

Jun Chen.
 Nuclear data sheets for a= 40.
 Nuclear Data Sheets, 140:1–376, 2017.

 [3] Josef Pradler, Balraj Singh, and Itay Yavin.
 On an unverified nuclear decay and its role in the dama experiment. *Physics Letters B*, 720(4-5):399–404, 2013.  [4] Jack Carter, Ryan B Ickert, Darren F Mark, Marissa M Tremblay, Alan J Cresswell, and David CW Sanderson.
 Production of 40 Ar by an overlooked mode of 40 K decay with implications for K-Ar geochronology.

Geochronology, 2(2):355-365, 2020.

- [5] E. Browne and J.K. Tuli.
   Nuclear Data Sheets for A = 65.
   Nuclear Data Sheets, 111(9):2425-2553, September 2010.
- [6] M. M. Bé, V. Chisté, C. Dulieu, E. Browne, C. Baglin, V. Chechev, N. Kuzmenco, R. Helmer, F. Kondev, and D. MacMahon. Table of Radionuclides (vol. 3–A= 3 to 244). *Monographie BIPM*, 5, 2006.

- [7] P. C. F. Di Stefano, N. Brewer, A. Fijalkowska, Z. Gai, K. C. Goetz, R. Grzywacz, D. Hamm, P. Lechner, Y. Liu, and E. Lukosi. The KDK (potassium decay) experiment. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 1342, page 012062. IOP Publishing, 2020.
- [8] Marie-Martin Be, Venassa Chiste, C Dulieu, X Mougeot, V Chechev, F Kondev, A Nichols, X Huang, and B Wang. Table of radionuclides (comments on evaluations). *Monographie BIPM-5*, 7, 1999.

# **Extra Slides**

# Extra - Main Analysis $({}^{40}K)$ Description

Decay Channels:

- $\bullet$  Primarily  $\beta^-$  to  ${\rm ^{40}Ca}$
- Electron capture to either excited or ground state of  $^{40}\mathrm{Ar}$
- Small  $\beta^+$  to <sup>40</sup>Ar branch

#### EC As A Background

- EC and EC\* events emit low-energy x-rays/Auger electrons in expected DM-detection signal region
- EC event: no high-energy gamma ray  $\implies$  difficult to veto the event
- $\bullet\,$  Need to accurately know  ${\rm BR}_{\rm EC}$
- Never experimentally-measured



 $BR_{EC}$  value is theoretical

## False-Negative Corrections

#### EC-triggered events in coincident spectrum

#### Sources

- EC coincident with MTAS background
- **2** Source coincidence  $(EC + EC^*)$



#### Probability that EC "looks like" an EC\*: 0.0245(1) at the 4 µs CW

## Extra - Literature $\mathrm{BR}_\mathrm{EC}$ Values for $^{40}\mathrm{K}$

Log(ft) is commonly-used, but disagrees with 2017 NNDC value. Current half-life measurements are not precise enough to provide sufficient  $BR_{EC}$  estimation.

KDK measurement was suggested by Pradler et al.<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{array}{rll} \mbox{Log(ft):} & \mbox{BR}_{\rm EC} = 0.2(1)\%^2 \\ & \mbox{NNDC:} & \mbox{BR}_{\rm EC} = 0.045(6)\%^3 \\ & \mbox{BR}_{\rm EC} = 0.8(8)\%^1 \end{array}$$

<sup>1</sup>Pradler, Josef, Balraj Singh, and Itay Yavin. "On an unverified nuclear decay and its role in the DAMA experiment." Physics Letters B 720.4-5 (2013): 399-404.

<sup>2</sup>Be, Marie-Martin, et al. "Table of Radionuclides (Comments on evaluations)." Monographie BIPM-5 7 (1999).

<sup>3</sup>Chen, Jun. "Nuclear Data Sheets for A= 40." Nuclear Data Sheets 140 (2017): 1–376.

## Extra - Impact of Background on Annual Modulation

Total rate:

$$R(t) = B_0 + S_0 + S_m f(t)$$

 $B_0$ : background  $S_0$ : unmodulated dark matter  $S_m f(t)$ : time-dependent dark matter signal  $R_0 \equiv B_0 + S_0$ : measured time-independent rate

Modulation fraction:

$$s_m = \frac{S_m}{S_0} = \frac{S_m}{R_0 - B_0}$$

 $B_0$  affects  $s_m$  result, while feasibility can be assessed via theoretical DM models



- $\bullet$ Blue:  $^{54}{\rm Mn}$  4  $\mu s$  data
- Red: total fit, with components:
  - Black: simulated 835 keV spectrum
  - Teal: measured MTAS background
  - Green: gamma+BG convolution (black+teal)
  - Pink: gamma+gamma convolution (black+black)



- 19 NaI(Tl) hexagonal volumes
- $\bullet\,\sim 53~{\rm cm}\,\times\,18~{\rm cm}$
- Inner, Middle Outer: one PMT at each end
- Center: 6 PMTs on each end, hole through center for source
- total mass  $\sim 1$  ton
- $\sim 4\pi$  coverage
- surrounded by lead shielding

## Extra - SDD Details



- Increasingly-biased p<sup>+</sup> rings
- Planar cathode
- Central n<sup>+</sup> anode is at potential minimum
- Gate of field-effect transistor (FET) connected to anode

MTAS Insert

- Contains SDD + source
- 2mm width except for endcap
- Endcap is 30cm long, 0.63mm thick to reduce scattering

## Extra - MTAS BG

Peaks:  $^{40}{\rm K}$  (1460 keV),  $^{214}{\rm Bi}$  (1760 keV),  $^{208}{\rm Tl}$  (2614 keV),  $^{127}{\rm I}$  &  $^{23}{\rm Na}$  neutron captures (6800 keV).



### Extra - False Negatives

EC in coincidence with a background in MTAS:

$$\psi_B = BT \tag{1}$$

T=CW, B = 2641.00(26) Hz is the rate of background events in MTAS. Using convolutions of MTAS background with <sup>54</sup>Mn, a collaborator obtained  $\psi_B$  values.

Source  $(EC + EC^*)$  coincidence:

$$\psi_{\rm EC^*} = \epsilon A({\rm BR}_{\rm EC^*})\mu_x T \tag{2}$$

A = 536(23) Bq is source activity, BR<sub>EC\*</sub> = 50.04(10) %,  $\mu_x$  is probability of missing EC\* x-ray (sims.).

Source (EC +  $\beta^+$ ) coincidence:

$$\psi_{\beta^+} = \epsilon \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{R}_{\beta^+})\mu_{\beta^+}T \tag{3}$$

 $\beta^+$  annihilates to two 511 keV gammas. BR $_{\beta^+} = 1.421(7) \%$ ,  $\mu_{\beta^+}$  is probability  $\beta^+$  is missed by SDD (sims.).  $\epsilon \approx 1$ 

## Extra - False Negative Corrections Details

T' (µs)	$(1 - \eta_x)$	$\begin{array}{c} T_{avg} \\ (\mu s) \end{array}$	$\psi_B$	$\psi_{\mathrm{EC}^*}$	$\Psi$
1	0.799(25)	2.66(5)	0.0071(1)	0.00057(1)	0.0076(1)
2	0.749(28)	4.64(3)	0.0123(1)	0.00093(1)	0.0132(1)
4	0.750(21)	8.67(4)	0.0227(1)	0.00174(1)	0.0245(1)